The special meeting of May 6, 2008 was called to order at 2:44 p.m. in the Chambers of Anaheim City Hall located at 200 South Anaheim Boulevard.

A copy of the agenda for the Anaheim City Council meeting was posted on May 2, 2008 on the kiosk outside City Hall.


STAFF PRESENT: City Manager Dave Morgan, City Attorney Jack White, and City Clerk Linda Andal.

WORKSHOP - CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION DEVELOPMENT TEAM

Assistant City Manager Tom Wood remarked the Anaheim Convention Center was the largest and most successful convention center on the west coast and today’s success was driving consideration of further growth and expansion. He added the City had solicited requests from developers to expand the Convention Center which included the following objectives: the ability to further accommodate multiple shows simultaneously, the opportunity to attract high-end businesses that were not presently attending on the west coast, and the anticipation of further demand for meeting space. Specifically, he explained, Anaheim was looking for a development team that continued the imagination and success of the Center, used outstanding design and architecture to meet the demands for flexible meeting space expressed by clients, and who offered an economic package that could be achieved and resulted in the most direct and economic benefit to Anaheim residents. Greg Smith, Director of Convention, Sports & Entertainment Department, identified each of the three respondents to the City’s Request for Information and Qualifications (RFIQ) remarking that they have been allotted 20 minutes to present their proposal.

David Rose of Sonnenblick Del Rio provided details on the development team involved with this proposal, their background and expertise, including direct experience in the Anaheim Resort, involvement in public/private partnerships and financing strategies. He stressed this was the team looking to develop with a minimum of public funding.

Mr. Rose stated the CLS study which defined the Convention Center’s place relative to other like facilities in the country showed Anaheim was 5th in terms of size and continuous exhibit space. For meeting room space, Anaheim dropped down to 25th on the list and Sonnenblick Del Rio’s proposal would focus on bringing the City up to the 4th, 5th or 6th in the country for breakout meeting space and complementary exhibition space. Along with those objectives, Mr. Rose indicated their proposal also focused on where future expansion could occur.

The design team proposed vehicular access off Katella with a dedicated entrance for VIPs and valet parking, a dedicated synchronized traffic signal to allow entrance to a parking structure rather than from Katella traffic lanes and placing public facilities to the
front with parking activities inside and underneath the structure. The next step, he remarked, was to create a seamless connection to the existing Center via a bridge over an expanded meeting space from the second level meeting rooms. In order to do this on the third level as well, the expansion space was taken down a level, parking was pushed down a level with restaurant and parking on the grade and hotel towers above. Sonnenblick’s design also provided for pedestrian activity along Katella, using the existing Convention Center architectural theme with Mr. Rose pointing out in detail how that would be accomplished. In summary, he indicated their design included two hotels with luxury and convention suites, 200,000 square feet of flexible meeting space, 20,000 square feet of restaurants and 1,737 parking spaces.

He outlined the business terms which included a 99 year air rights lease for private improvements, $30,000 per room key with an eight percent per annum charge and three percent of gross revenues from all sources collected on the site. He explained the plan was to create an additional revenue stream the City could use to help defray the costs of the public improvements that must be built, stressing that Sonnenblick Del Rio was not seeking subsidized private improvements. He indicated there would be mutual agreements to put together respective project components, including a parking agreement along with consideration of whether or not the City wished to own the garage.

Ray Garfield of Garfield Traub provided details on the development team involved with this proposal, their background and expertise, their construction bid process and local construction involvement.

David Manica, HOK Venue, began his presentation with the parking site stating his firm was considering four trays holding 500 vehicles which would exceed the1500 parking spaces required and allow for additional parking if needed. The expansion was proposed as a three-sided building with two front doors and one back door. There would be one large 100,000 square foot meeting space with a distinct back door with clear truck docks and access and atrium space that mimicked the existing gathering space of the current Convention Center. Off Katella, a front door with hotel lobby, restaurant, check-in and good access into the atrium space would be created. This flexible space, he emphasized, could be converted into 32 distinct meeting rooms, industry standard size with clear pre-function front access and private, secure back access and the configuration could be changed to mix-and-match with smaller or larger halls. On the intermediate floor, guests would have the hotel spa and support which would also be accessible to non-hotel guests and bring in additional revenue. At the meeting room level there would be a link into the existing meeting room level with a bridge connection keeping the promenade and existing gardens open for fire truck access and as an important feature for visitors to enjoy. The second level meeting room would connect with pre-function and flexible meeting rooms with back service access from the service docks immediately below. He indicated the hotel would be L-shaped and was designed to bring Anaheim’s signature spaces into play. The garden roof terrace would be a sustainable item and would also provide space to both meeting rooms and to some of the suites on that level. There were additional hotel floors and the grand ballroom would provide 60,000 square feet of space and would allow for subdivision into smaller spaces, if needed, with full kitchen space access. The ballroom, he added, could seat 5,000 and there would be a second connection at this level to existing ballrooms across the way.
Ken Martin, HOK Venue, provided details on the hotel complex. The signature space would distinguish the hotel on Katella and give a new front door to the facility. The terrace would be notched out on the building and would provide for views of Disneyland and beyond. He pointed out the design team took cues from the existing facility and studied the proportions of glass and materials and the way the elevations overlaid one another like waves on a beach. The result was to present an iconic view with a presence on Katella. The Arena space with fountain, landscaping and hardscaping would remain as-is, to keep the Arena investment intact.

Matt Raine, Vice President of Starwood Hotel Development, described his company, their vision and achievements. He emphasized Westin and the Sheraton were the two brands most synonymous with convention center hotel operations and he believed the Westin guest was a client not being served. He indicated the proposal was for a hotel that Starwood wanted to operate and had approached Garfield Traub when this opportunity arose. The Westin hotel could be designed with 400-500 rooms and in the 3rd year of operation, the City’s share of TOT was projected to be $3.5 million per year, which could be amortized with $50-60 million in bonds, well in excess of what would be asked if the hotel was built either publicly or privately. Adding the additional sales tax from food and beverage, he projected $3.7 or $3.8 million in the 2nd or 3rd year of operation. He added Anaheim would be called upon to do less backing for a hotel because of a strong market, good average daily rates and good occupancies than almost any other community in the country; but he was also convinced there would be some increment of public support necessary. He added through public ownership, the City would be able to get the hotel and expansion open by 2012, but with private ownership of the hotel and the necessary entitlements and private lender requirements need, it would take an additional year.

Mayor Pringle asked for clarification on the point raised that a hotel of this quality would need subsidy. Mr. Raine explained the financing details under private ownership and why it required cities to step in and provide some assistance. Mayor Pringle asked if Starwood had executed an exclusivity agreement with Garfield Traub; Mr. Raine responded there was no agreement and that the arrangements were preferential.

Council Member Kring asked if the proposal was for the City to own the hotel by purchasing it with tax exempt bonds and the Westin operating it. Mr. Raine responded the City would own the land, and the tax exempt model could be implemented by the City establishing a not-for-profit entity that would issue the bonds and own the hotel. To the extent the hotel failed to generate enough money to fully amortize the annual mortgage, in any year, he added, the City had TOT as a backstop. He added Starwood would design, negotiate the operating agreements, oversee construction and would like to be the continuing asset manager representing the City between Starwood and Westin and the City’s not-for-profit entity.

John Thomas of Regent Development of Orange County, stated he had taken a summary version of what had been submitted to Anaheim’s committee earlier and had focused on two or three key items of the design to present to Council. Gary Johnson, project manager, explained there would be a single contract between the City and Regent and the City would have one single partner in this development process. He indicated the design architect was Todd Voth of HOK Venue who had designed the 1997 Convention Center Expansion and who would point out the features of the proposed
design. He commented on the development teams elaborating on their background and experience with similar developments.

Todd Voth, architect, remarked the City had requested flexible meeting space that was highly competitive and allowed a number of configurations and also wanted a facility that added to the quality of the existing development to fit in with the environment and be salable. He indicated the three components of their project were the highly flexible meeting spaces, the hotel and parking.

He felt it was important to have a Katella address for the new facility, however, because of the medians and traffic, the designers determined it was more appropriate to put the entrance off a motor courtyard that connected not only the Arena to the courtyard, but also the Plaza, the new facility, the hotel and Convention Center and the existing pedestrian link. The first floor plan combined two functions: the prefuction area for the convention and exhibition center with a portal that goes through to the main hotel lobby and the entrance drive which traveled underneath a portal entrance into the site. Three lanes of traffic could drop off at the Arena, the Link, the Convention Center or the hotel. Valet parking would be immediately provided and cars would be quickly funneled into the garage. The hotel loading would be separated from the exhibition loading, and there would also be a parking entrance for the remainder of the public, all of which happened off Hotel Way to separate the pedestrians from the back of the facility, as much as possible.

The first floor exhibition hall, he stated, would have three configurations: 50,000, 75,000 and 100,000 square feet. Further elaborating, the second floor would have a high end restaurant that sits atop the entrance drive overlooking Katella and the entrance plaza. On the 3rd floor, the entrance to the hotel could be seen combined with the atrium which connected the two floors. He indicated there would be no connection physically between the hotel towers and prefuction for security and functional purposes, however, the designers created a garden behind the first floor of the hotel to provide some screening for those rooms and give them a view. In addition to that, off the upper level exhibit hall, there would be an outdoor area for functions that could be used for salable space or different types of functions. This meeting space configuration, he emphasized, was a flexible, 42,000 square feet of meeting space with prefuction and outdoor space. The 3rd configuration was a hybrid of both meeting space along with the exhibition space.

Mr. Voth commented that there was no physical connection to the existing Convention Center by intent as it was felt that with the pedestrian link coupled with California weather, visitors would find more enjoyment on the grounds rather than in a “gerbil” tube. On the 4th floor of the hotel, he pointed out, due to the height restriction from Disney property which required the front edge of the facility not exceed 90 feet high along Katella, a terraced approach was created to allowed for more rooms. Floors 5, 6 and 7 were not terraced and would take advantage of the total floor space available. He indicated there would also be a green roof on top of convention/exhibition hall for viewing purposes as well as having a sustainable design feature. The pool and cabanas would be located in a prominent spot. The 9th and 10th floor would continue to be terraced and on the 10th floor, a VIP lounge would be located to offer a view of the city and property. Mr. Voth noted there would be three levels of parking with approximately 530 spaces per level and an additional level could be added, if needed.
He added the main entrance was designed like a modern motor inn with all hotel rooms focusing onto the plaza and there was the potential opportunity for a major electronic sign to brand the area and a blank wall to brand the hotel.

John Thomas added that the development team purposely did not select a hotel group as part of the development pointing out there were four hoteliers vying to be part of the project and they would work jointly with the City to select a hotel that would work for the City.

Wayne Lindstrom, Hencil Phelps Construction Company, remarked his company participated in the existing Convention Center remodel and looked forward to being involved in the next expansion, pointing to similar projects in which he had been involved.

John Thomas ended his presentation emphasizing there was no City subsidy requested for this project.

Council Member Sidhu asked staff to comment on the Disney visibility requirement mentioned earlier. Sheri Vander Dussen stated there was an ordinance adopted by the City when Disneyland was built which embraced the concept that when you are in Disneyland on Main Street and look out, a visitor cannot see any other properties from that angle. Council Member Sidhu asked which proposal was in compliance with that specific ordinance and Mr. Borrega responded staff would have to evaluate the proposals further. Mr. Morgan also stated the proposers were asked to respect the City’s entitlements as closely as possible with their proposals. Mr. Thomas remarked that his development team’s design complied with all City regulation currently in place.

Mayor Pringle remarked that this proposal had the smallest number of meeting rooms and wondered why. Gary Johnson responded their proposal used the existing infrastructure as a guideline for development to ensure no additional environmental study would be required. Council Member Sidhu requested environmental guidelines for this project be provided to Council.

At 4:11 P.M., Mayor Pringle moved to closed session remarking Council would issue a statement relating to this workshop at that time the Council meeting was reconvened.

ADDITIONS/DELETIONS TO CLOSED SESSION: None

PUBLIC COMMENTS ON CLOSED SESSION ITEMS: None

CLOSED SESSION:

1. **CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL-EXISTING LITIGATION**  
   (Subdivision (a) of Section 54956.9 of the Government Code)  
   **Name of case:** Koeguera and Lopez v. City of Anaheim, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 06CC07521; consolidated with Orange County Superior Court Case No. 07CC06228.

2. **CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL-EXISTING LITIGATION**  
   (Subdivision (a) of Section 54956.9 of the Government Code)
Name of case: Hedgpeth v. City of Anaheim, et al. Orange County Superior Court Case No. 06CC06369.

3. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL-EXISTING LITIGATION  
(Subdivision (a) of Section 54956.9 of the Government Code)  
Name of case: Bushala v. City of Anaheim, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 07CC02812.

4. CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATORS  
Property: 800 West Katella Avenue, Anaheim, California (Car Park One site)  
Agency negotiator: Greg Smith  
Negotiating parties: Garfield Traub Development, Regent Development OC, Sonnenblick-Del Rio Development, Inc. and the City of Anaheim  
Under negotiation: Price and terms

At 5:13 P.M., Council returned from closed session and Mayor Pringle reported Council unanimously directed staff to seek complete financial information on the three proposals submitted relating to the Convention Center Expansion and to report back to Council at the meeting of June 10, 2008:

Invocation: Judith Afsahi, Bahai Local Spiritual Assembly of Anaheim  
Flag Salute: Council Member Kring  
Acceptance of Other Recognitions (To be presented at a later date):

Proclaiming May 10, 2008 as Fibromyalgia Awareness Day – Phyllis Talarico thanked Council for bringing awareness of this disease to the community and announced there would be a fund raiser on Saturday, May 10th, 2008.

Proclaiming May 2008 as Water Awareness Month

Proclaiming May 2008 as National ALS Awareness Month – Mark Hershey, board member of ALS Association, accepted the proclamation and thanked the City for their support.

Recognizing Girl Scouts receiving Silver & Gold Awards in Troops 330, 1728 and 1690

Recognizing Anaheim Secondary Teachers Association Scholarship Recipients – Linda Barnett, president of the STA, indicated the recipients would be honored on May 15th.

At 5:23 P.M., Mayor Pringle called to order the Anaheim Redevelopment Agency for a joint public comment session with the City Council.

ADDITIONS/DELETIONS TO THE AGENDAS: Staff requested public hearing Item No. 17 be continued to May 13, 2008.
PUBLIC COMMENTS (all items except public hearings):

Kenny Payne, North Mayfair Avenue, remarked due to gang violence and drug trafficking, there had been no parking on his street for some time but that he was having an on-going problem with trucks parking there with no relief received after complaints to City departments. Mayor Pringle requested Chief Welter meet with Mr. Payne and to share back with Council the results of that discussion and what course of action would be taken.

A resident announced the 12th annual western barbeque being held at Twila Reid Park with various programs and entertainment for families.

James Robert Reade addressed a tribute to Carol Marshall.

At 5:35 P.M., Mayor Pringle recessed the City Council to consider the Redevelopment Agency agenda, reconvening Council session at 5:36 P.M.

CONSENT CALENDAR: Council Member Kring removed Item No. 7 from the consent calendar for further discussion, Mayor Pringle Removed Item No's. 9, 10, 11 and 15 and Council Member Hernandez pulled Item No. 12. Council Member Kring moved to waive reading in full of all ordinances and resolutions and to adopt the balance of the consent calendar in accordance with the reports, certifications and recommendations furnished each council member and as listed on the Consent Calendar, seconded by Council Member Hernandez. Roll call vote: Ayes - 5; Mayor Pringle, Council Members: Galloway, Hernandez, Kring and Sidhu. Noes - 0. Motion Carried.


4. Approve the Cooperative Agreement with the City of Yorba Linda, in an estimated cost of $52,060 of the total estimated project cost of $110,646, for the Weir Canyon Road Pavement Rehabilitation Project.

5. Approve the Agreement for Acquisition of Mobile Home and Improvements with Satellite Mobile Estates, in the amount of $9,100, for a mobile home located at 1844 South Haster Street, Space 19, for the Gene Autry Way (West)/I-5 Freeway HOV Interchange and Highway Project.

6. Approve the First Amendment to Agreement with Stearns, Conrad and Schmidt, Consulting Engineers, Inc. (dba SCS Engineers), in conjunction with MSW Consultants, in the amount of $12,490, for continued consulting services associated with the landfill rate model analysis relating to the waste management negotiations with the County of Orange.

8. Award the contract to the lowest responsible bidder, DPR Construction Company, in the amount of $284,645, for the Sharp Substation Oil Containment Project and authorize the Finance Director to execute the Escrow Agreement pertaining to contract retentions.

13. Waive Council Policy 4.0 and approve and authorize the Public Utilities General Manager to execute annual renewals of an agreement with CallNet Call Center
Services, Inc., in an amount not to exceed $70,000 per year, for after-hours/emergency call answering services.

14. Waive the sealed bid requirement of Council Policy 4.0 and authorize the Purchasing Agent to issue a purchase order, in an amount not to exceed $70,378, to ABI, Inc. for an ABI MasterMind timekeeping system for the Anaheim Convention Center and exercise annual service renewals as requested by the Convention Center, subject to available budget appropriations.

16. Approve minutes of the regular Council meeting held April 22, 2008.

END OF CONSENT:

7. Authorize the transmittal of the draft Housing Element to the State Department of Housing and Community Development for its initial review for compliance with State law requirements.

Council Member Kring asked if there were any green building or universal design construction mandates in the City’s Housing Element. Jonathan Borrego, Planning Department, indicated the policy discussed exploring incentives related to both universal design and green building, however did not mandate their use. He added once staff explored those subjects, they would return to Council with any changes or recommendations for their review. Council Member Hernandez asked if any incentives were used for universal design and Mr. Borrego responded that incentives were currently only used for green building. Mr. Barkless, consultant, added that estimated costs for universal design components in new construction reflected a two to three percent construction cost increase while retrofitting existing residences was in the thirty percent range.

Council Member Kring moved to approve Item No. 7, seconded by Council Member Sidhu. Roll Call vote: Ayes - 5; Mayor Pringle, Council Members: Galloway, Hernandez, Kring and Sidhu. Noes - 0. Motion Carried.

9. Award the contract to the lowest responsible bidder, Pro Tech Engineering Corporation, in the amount of $447,225, for the Magnolia Avenue Intelligent Transportation System Improvement Project and authorize the Finance Director to execute the Escrow Agreement pertaining to contract retentions.

Mayor Pringle pointed out there were three items on the agenda, Item No’s. 9, 10 and 11, which focused on investments in the City and he had pulled those items to bring them to the attention of the community. Item No. 9 referred to traffic signal synchronization on Magnolia Avenue, No. 10 referenced improvements on Euclid Street and Item No. 11 referred to similar types of street improvements on Orangethorpe between the railroad tracks and Lemon Avenue.

Council Member Kring moved to approve all three items (Items No. 9, 10 and 11), seconded by Council Member Hernandez. Roll Call vote: Ayes - 5; Mayor Pringle, Council Members: Galloway, Hernandez, Kring and Sidhu. Noes - 0. Motion Carried.
10. Award the contract to the lowest responsible bidder, Hardy & Harper, Inc., in the amount of $269,000, for the Euclid street improvements and authorize the Finance Director to execute the Escrow Agreement pertaining to contract retentions.

Mayor Pringle pointed out there were three items on the agenda, Item No's. 9, 10 and 11, which focused on investments in the City and he had pulled those items to bring them to the attention of the community. Item No. 9 referred to traffic signal synchronization on Magnolia Avenue, No. 10 referenced improvements on Euclid Street and Item No. 11 referred to similar types of street improvements on Orangethorpe between the railroad tracks and Lemon Avenue.

Council Member Kring moved to approve Item No. 10, seconded by Council Member Hernandez. Roll Call vote: Ayes - 5; Mayor Pringle, Council Members: Galloway, Hernandez, Kring and Sidhu. Noes - 0. Motion Carried

11. Award the contract to the lowest responsible bidder, R.J. Noble Company, in the amount of $672,752.40, for the Orangethorpe Avenue Street Improvement Project and authorize the Finance Director to execute the Escrow Agreement pertaining to contract retentions.

Mayor Pringle pointed out there were three items on the agenda, Item No’s. 9, 10 and 11, which focused on investments in the City and he had pulled those items to bring them to the attention of the community. Item No. 9 referred to traffic signal synchronization on Magnolia Avenue, No. 10 referenced improvements on Euclid Street and Item No. 11 referred to similar types of street improvements on Orangethorpe between the railroad tracks and Lemon Avenue.

Council Member Kring moved to approve Item No. 11, seconded by Council Member Hernandez. Roll Call vote: Ayes - 5; Mayor Pringle, Council Members: Galloway, Hernandez, Kring and Sidhu. Noes - 0. Motion Carried

12. Increase the Fire Department's Fiscal Year 2007/08 budget, in the amount of $812,575, for unanticipated expenses for legal services, Metro Cities Dispatch, 2007 Wild Fire Mutual Aid response and related situational staffing backfill expenses, and necessary fire-related training and approve the increase and appropriations to the Fire Department's mutual aid revenue, in the amount of $296,413, which is in addition to Fiscal Year 2007/08's current mutual aid revenue of $200,000.

Council Member Hernandez asked Chief Smith to explain the City’s process when the Fire Department participated in disasters and what it costs for the City to respond to major incidents.

Chief Roger Smith explained when the Department faced a major emergency, they looked at the City internally as to emergency response, capability and surge capacity, and at times made operational decisions to staff. Anaheim was part of the California Fire Assistance agreement put together by the Office of Emergency Services at the state level and there was a reimbursement mechanism which allowed the City to be
reimbursed for their response up to 75 percent of the cost. Under certain circumstances, at the federal level, he indicated the costs could be 100 percent reimbursed.

Council Member Hernandez moved to approve Item No. 12, seconded by Council Member Sidhu. Roll Call vote: Ayes - 5; Mayor Pringle, Council Members: Galloway, Hernandez, Kring and Sidhu. Noes - 0. Motion Carried

15. ORDINANCE NO. 6105 (INTRODUCTION) AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ANAHEIM creating an Economic Stimulus package for residential development by temporarily superseding the provisions of various ordinances heretofore adopted relating to the required time of payment of specified development fees heretofore imposed.

RESOLUTION NO. 2008-059 A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ANAHEIM creating an Economic Stimulus Package for residential development by temporarily superseding the provisions of various resolutions heretofore adopted relating to the required time of payment of specified development fees heretofore imposed and rescinding Resolution No. 2008-050.

Sheri Vander Dussen announced that at the previous Council meeting, a stimulus package to provide for the deferral of development impact fees paid by residential developers was adopted but that the ordinance and resolution now before Council made two changes to that program. The language was clarified to read that the program would be valid through June 30, 2010 and it also included revised language regarding electrical system upgrade fees. Those fees were generally paid at the time the developer wished the Utilities Department to do the work and that issue was clarified in the new resolution and ordinance as well.

Council Member Hernandez moved to introduce ORDINANCE NO. 6105 OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ANAHEIM creating an Economic Stimulus package for residential development by temporarily superseding the provisions of various ordinances heretofore adopted relating to the required time of payment of specified development fees heretofore imposed; and to approve RESOLUTION NO. 2008-059 OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ANAHEIM creating an Economic Stimulus Package for residential development by temporarily superseding the provisions of various resolutions heretofore adopted relating to the required time of payment of specified development fees heretofore imposed and rescinding Resolution No. 2008-05; seconded by Council Member Kring. Roll Call vote: Ayes - 5; Mayor Pringle, Council Members: Galloway, Hernandez, Kring and Sidhu. Noes - 0. Motion Carried

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

17. Staff requests continuance to the Council meeting of May 13, 2008.

This is a continued public hearing to consider a resolution finding and determining the public interest and necessity for acquiring and authorizing the condemnation of real property located at 3101 East La Palma Avenue for the
Kraemer Boulevard/La Palma Avenue Intersection Improvement Project
(Continued from Council meeting of April 22, 2008, Item #47).

RESOLUTION NO. _________ A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF
THE CITY OF ANAHEIM determining the public interest and necessity for
acquisition of portions of property located at 3101 East La Palma Avenue for the
purposes of the Kraemer Boulevard/La Palma Avenue Intersection Improvement
Project (R/W ACQ 2006-00252).

This item continued to May 13, 2008.

18. This is a public hearing to consider a resolution approving a special permit to
relocate one historic residential structure from 410 West Vermont Avenue to 121
West Stueckle Avenue.

RESOLUTION NO. 2008-060 A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF ANAHEIM approving a special permit to move a residential
structure from 410 West Vermont Avenue to Redevelopment Agency-owned land
located at 121 West Stueckle Avenue in the City of Anaheim.

At 5:56 P.M. Council considered the public hearing to consider approving a special
permit to relocate one historic residential structure from 410 West Vermont Avenue to
121 West Stueckle Avenue.

Elisa Stipkovich, Community Development Department, remarked the Redevelopment
Agency staff requested permission to move a historical 1910 Queen Anne style cottage
from 410 West Vermont Avenue to 121 West Stueckle Avenue. She remarked that six
other parcels in this block were part of a historical preservation project. The Vermont
Avenue site, she explained, would be under construction for a new office building and in
order to save the cottage, it must be relocated to Stueckle Avenue.

Mayor Pringle opened the public hearing.

Ms. Gonzales addressed Council supporting this project, stating frequently these historic
homes are torn down as new construction takes place and she supported its relocation.

Mayor Pringle closed the hearing with no other comments offered.

Council Member Kring remarked there were two homes on the corner of West Street and
the 5 freeway and asked what the plans were for those homes. Ms. Stipkovich indicated
those homes were being worked on by the developer and would be moved a short
distances from their existing site. She indicated the foundation was poured for one of
the homes and the site conveyed to the developer.

Council Member Kring moved to approve RESOLUTION NO. 2008-060 OF THE CITY
OF ANAHEIM approving a special permit to move a residential structure from 410 West
Vermont Avenue to Redevelopment Agency-owned land located at 121 West Stueckle
Avenue in the City of Anaheim, seconded by Council Member Hernandez. Roll Call
vote: Ayes - 5; Mayor Pringle, Council Members: Galloway, Hernandez, Kring and
Sidhu. Noes - 0. Motion Carried
Report on Closed Session Actions:

After returning from closed session, Mayor Pringle reported Council unanimously directed staff to seek complete financial information on all three proposals submitted relating to the Convention Center Expansion and to report back to Council at the meeting of June 10, 2008.

Jack White, City Attorney, reported Council unanimously authorized the filing of an appeal in the case of Hedgpeth v. City of Anaheim, et al. Orange County Superior Court Case No. 06CC06369.

Council Communications:

Council Member Hernandez encouraged residents to use the 311 Anaheim Anytime phone system to report graffiti or for any other questions on City services. He mentioned two part-time employees had been added to handle graffiti abatement, and expected they would be able to handle up to 10,000 square feet of graffiti per week. He also announced that Orange County Collaborative, an organization to end abuse of people with disabilities would be hosting an event on emergency preparedness for older adults and persons with disabilities on May 16th.

Council Member Galloway welcomed Lutheran High School students. She announced mail surveys conducted four years ago in addition to her recent mailings continued to focus on what citizens felt was most important. Their primary concern had been deterioration of neighborhoods and increased graffiti and she requested a workshop to discuss quality of life and graffiti issues.

Council Member Kring spoke of the Police Department’s task force relating to graffiti activity. She also addressed the concerns of shopping carts and fruit vendors in the community.

Council Member Sidhu announced the 12th Annual Western BBQ occurring next Saturday and Building Safety Week with staff hosting a series of building workshops for the community. He also announced Orange County Sanitation Districts had presented Anaheim with the 2007 Top Compost User Award certificate.

Mayor Pringle announced 49 students were selected to receive laptops from the Mayor’s Tech Scholar Program and would be recognized at a reception to be held on May 13th. He reported on the Urban Land Institute Mayor’s Forum discussing future development in Orange County and had also participated in a debate between Larry Elder and Bill Press, hosted by the Pacific West Realtors Association. Earlier the City had the National Association of Corporate Community Investment visiting, sponsored by US Chamber of Commerce, to hear about what was going on in Anaheim. He also discussed his trip to Washington D.C. where he met with legislators, the Deputy Director of HUD and the Director of Federal Housing Administration and had been presented with the prestigious local official of the year award by the National Association of Homebuilders.
Adjournment:

With no other business to conduct, Mayor Pringle adjourned the May 6, 2008 meeting at 6:28 P.M.

Respectfully Submitted

Linda N. Andal, City Clerk